TR	ANSMITTAL FORM Il correspondence after initial filling Pages in This Submission 5	Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Examiner Name	Patent and Tri Inction of Info 10/659,152 September Seemeen S 2882 Thomas, Co	10, 2003 I. Karimi ourtney D. (ANAX-240)
Amendme Aft Aft Extension Express A Informatio Certified (Document Reply to M Incomplet	e Attached nt/Reply er Final Idavits/decleration(s) of Time Request bendonment Request n Disclosure Statement	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocati Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C	Address	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Issue Fee Transmittal Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	SIGNATL	IRE OF APPLICANT, ATT	DRNEY, C	DR AGENT
Firm Name Signature Printed name	McDermott Will & Emery LLF	E. Km		
Date Oclober 4, 2005			Reg. No.	43,394
I hereby certify the sufficient postage the date shown be Signature	at this correspondence is being as first class mail in an enve	RTIFICATE OF TRANSMIS or facsimile transmitted to the USI ope addressed to: Commissioner	TO or deno	ILING sited with the United States Postal Service with P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on Date October 4, 2005

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is collection is collection to complete to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be cent to the Chief Information Officer. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Karimi et al.

Serial No:

10/659,152

Filing Date: Title:

September 10, 2003 HELICAL INTERPOLATION FOR AN ASYMMETRIC MULTI-

SLICE SCANNER

Examiner:

Thomas, Courtney D.

Art Unit:

2882

Attorney Docket:

56230-598 (ANAK-240)

Customer No:

23630

CERTIFICATE OF FAZSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent by facsimile to: 571-273-2885, Mail Stop: Issue Fee: Continuission of for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.

Date: 17-4-05

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 CFR 1.104(e)

Via Facsimile: 571-273-2885

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants note with appreciation Applicants' receipt of a Notice of Allowance and a Notice of Allowability dated July 29, 2005, for the above-referenced case. In the Notice of Allowance and in the Notice of Allowability, claims 1-24 of the above-referenced case have been allowed.

An Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance was attached to the Notice of Allowability. In section 3, the Examiner stated that, per claims 1 and 16 and dependent claims 2-15 and 17-24 respectively, the Examiner found no reference in the prior art that disclosed or made obvious a CT system (and corresponding method)

comprising:

"an interpolator, configured to interpolate projection data from each detector element onto a slice plane by multiplying data with helical interpolation weights; wherein for projection data resulting from detector rays lying within a symmetric region of an X ray beam, the helical interpolation weights that weigh the data from complementary rays in proportion to the distance from each detector element row to the slice plane, and wherein for projection data resulting from detector rays that lie within an asymmetric region of the X-ray beam, the helical interpolation weights are direct interpolation weights that weigh the data at a given azimuthal angle from different rows in proportion to the distance from each detector element row to the slice plane, as recited in independent claims 1 and 16."

Applicants respectfully submit that, in view of the plain language of the allowed claims, the paragraph set forth above between quotation marks should be modified as follows:

"an interpolator, configured to interpolate projection data from each detector element onto a slice plane by multiplying data with helical interpolation weights; wherein for projection data resulting from detector rays lying within a symmetric region of an X ray beam, the helical interpolation weights are complementary Interpolation weights that weigh the data from complementary rays in proportion to the distance from each detector element row to the slice plane; and wherein for projection data resulting from detector rays that lie within an asymmetric region of the X-ray beam, the helical interpolation weights are direct interpolation weights that weigh the data at a given azimuthal angle from different rows in proportion to the distance from each detector element row to the slice plane, as recited in independent claims 1 and 16."

Applicants further note that independent claim 16 is directed to "A method of reconstructing at least one image of an object."

Entry of the Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as any agreement with or acquiescence by Applicants with regard to the reasoning stated by the Examiner. It is submitted that the scope of the allowable claims should be readily apparent from the plain language of the claims, the specification, and the prosecution history, without need for consideration of the Examiner's Statement.

Applicants submit that claims 1-24 in the above-referenced case may be allowable for reasons in addition to, or in lieu of, those identified by the Examiner in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Further, Applicants hereby disavow any admissions regarding the prior art status of any document in the record.

Respectfully submitted,

Elizabeth E. Kim, Reg. No. 43,334

McDermott, Will & Emery

28 State Street Boston, MA 02109

(617) 535-4411 (617) 535-3800

Date: October 4, 2005

BST99 1475430-1.056230.0598